

Learning Materials: Prompt Framework Use Case-

Manager

General Management: Use Cases & Frameworks

For general managers and operations leaders, the following table matches everyday management tasks to the optimal prompting frameworks. Each entry explains why the framework fits, highlights common pitfalls and provides a sample prompt to kick things off.

Use case	Best-fit frameworks	Why these work	Failure modes	Prompt sample
	Trameworks			
Operations review & process improvement	COAST, RISE, DEPTH, ERA	COAST defines objectives, actions, scope and tests; RISE structures improvement plans; DEPTH adds metrics and multiple perspectives; ERA explains decisions	Ambiguous scope, unrealistic tests, superficial fixes	**COAST:** Context: onboarding takes 12 days. Objectives: reduce to 6 days. Actions: map current process, identify bottlenecks, propose improvements. Scope: onboarding only. Tests: pilot the new process with 10 customers; measure time savings.
Budget & resource planning	P.R.O.M.P.T., RISE, RACE, SMART, APE	P.R.O.M.P.T. clarifies deliverables and metrics; RISE structures planning steps; RACE imposes constraints; SMART sets goals; APE accelerates tasks	Misallocation, lack of justification, scope creep	**P.R.O.M.P.T.:** Purpose: allocate FY budget across departments. Role: general manager. Output: spreadsheet with proposed spend and ROI. Metrics: ROI ≥1.5, variance



		1	T	1
				±5%. Parameters: 30-day timeline.
				Tone: objective.
Policy & procedure roll-out	ROSES, RACE, CARE, ERA	ROSES adds examples and safety bounds; RACE covers legal constraints; CARE sets tone; ERA explains rationale	Poor adoption, ambiguous language, legal missteps	**ROSES:** Role: operations manager. Objectives: implement a new remote-work policy. Steps: draft guidelines, communicate to managers, gather feedback. Examples: two successful policy launches. Safeguards: legal review, inclusive language.
Staff management & coaching	RISE, CARE, TAG	RISE structures coaching plans; CARE encourages empathetic tone; TAG sets measurable improvement goals	Generic coaching, lack of follow-up, unrealistic targets	**RISE:** Role: team lead. Input: underperforming rep. Steps: analyze metrics, conduct coaching session, co-develop goals, schedule check-ins. Expectations: improve quota attainment from 60%→85% within 2 quarters.
Performance evaluation & metrics	TAG, SMART, DEPTH, ERA	TAG and SMART set measurable goals; DEPTH allows multiple viewpoints; ERA	Vague feedback, misaligned metrics, recency bias	**TAG:** Task: improve net promoter score (NPS). Action: implement a



RISE, DEPTH,	transparency		loop and recognition program. Goal: raise NPS from 45
RISE DEPTH			program. Goal:
RISE DEPTH			. •
RISE DEPTH			raise NPS from 45
RISE DEPTH			
RISE DEPTH			to 60 in 6 months.
1110-, DEI 111,	RISE structures the	Lack of	**DEPTH:**
COAST,	plan; DEPTH brings	cross-departmental	Define
P.R.O.M.P.T.	cross-functional	alignment, missing	perspectives:
	insight and	risk analysis,	operations,
	metrics; COAST	unrealistic	finance, sales.
	sets boundaries	timelines	Metrics: achieve
	and tests;		20% revenue
	P.R.O.M.P.T.		growth with 10%
	defines outputs		cost increase.
			Context: preparing
			for market
			expansion. Tasks:
			evaluate markets,
			allocate resources,
			create action
			plans. Human
			feedback: revise
			after leadership
			workshop.
		insight and metrics; COAST sets boundaries and tests; P.R.O.M.P.T. defines outputs	insight and risk analysis, unrealistic sets boundaries and tests; P.R.O.M.P.T.

clear policy roll-outs, and anchor goals with **SMART** or **TAG**.